

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/612,606	07/02/2003	Heinz-Juergen Dern	302.139	302.139 4791	
47888	7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER		
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.			SALVATORE, LYNDA		
1185 AVENUE C	JE OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7.2 10141	,		1771		
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060415			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s)	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
application from the International Bureau	и (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
Application Papers					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15</u> is/are rejected.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn Irom consideration.				
4) Claim(s) 10-13 and 15 is/are pending in the ap	•				
Disposition of Claims					
·	,,,, .				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4/05/</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This					
	/06 1 /05 /06				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	g action of this communication, even it unless like	a, may rouse sily			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Period for Reply	V 10 05T TO EVENT - 1101-	(O) OD THEET ((O) = 0.10			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
	Lynda M. Salvatore	1771			
Office Action Summary	10/612,606 Examiner	DERN ET AL.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	i Addication No.	ADDIICANUS1			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for continuing examination (RCE), amendment and accompanying remarks filed 1/25/06 and 4/05/06 have been fully considered and entered. Claim 10 has been amended and claim 14 has been canceled. Applicant's amendment to claim 10 is not found patently distinguishable over the prior art made of record and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability reasons set forth herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 10,12 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1082236

Applicant amended claim 10 to recite that the substituted fatty acid amide having the formula presented in claim 10 is in a "methanol" solution. However, it should be noted that claim 10 recites the limitation of 1-15 wt.% of fatty acid amides *and/or* substituted fatty acid amides having the formula set forth in claim 10. As such, the Examiner considers the teaching in the GB disclosure to adding stearamide or oleamide (e.g., fatty acid amides) as loosening agents and softeners sufficient to meet the claim limitation of fatty acid amides. With regard to Applicant's argument that the GB specification is directed to an emulsion and not an alcoholic solution, is respectfully pointed out that Applicant is not claiming an alcoholic solution. As such, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate is scope with the claimed subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,606

Art Unit: 1771

Recall, the published GB specification teaches producing an aqueous emulsion suitable for impregnating textile substrates (Column 1, 61-65). The emulsion comprises novolak (i.e., phenol-formnldehyde) or a mixture of cresol and phenol (Column 2, 63-63-80 and Column 3,45-50). Said resin is a thermo-hardening resin such that it hardens in the presence of heat (Column 5, 1-10). With regard to the fatty acid amide limitation, the published specification teaches adding loosening agents and softeners such as stearamide or oleamide (column 4, 105-1 15).

Page 3

With regard to the weight percent limitations set forth in claim 10, the published GB specification fails to teach how much fatty acid amide is added, however, it is the position of the Examiner that it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a suitable amount of fatty acid amide as a function of desired softness. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233

4. Claims 11 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1082236 in view of Wernik et a1., US 6,372,878.

The published GB specification does not specifically teach the claimed resol binding composition, however, the patent issued to Welmik et a1., teaches a modified resol binding resin composition (Abstract and Column 1, 50-59). The aqueous solution may comprise various phenolic compounds used singularly or in a mixture (Column 2, 33-40). The resin solution further comprises an aldehyde such as formaldehyde (Column 2, 41-50). In addition the resol binding resin may be further combined and cured with other polymers such as melamine,

Art Unit: 1771

epoxide or polyvinyl (Column 3, 50-56). Wernik et al., specifically teaches that the resol binding resin is suitable as an impregnation agent, or binders for grinding abrasives on substrates (Column 3, 58-67). Advantages of the modified resol binding resin include good water-dilutability and bright curing at elevated temperatures without chemical and/or physical degradation of the cured product (column 1, 35-45).

Therefore, motivated by the desire to impregnate a textile with a binding agent which provides good water-dilutability and bright curing at elevated temperatures without chemical and/or physical degradation of the cured product, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the phenol based binder in the coating composition taught by the published GB specification with the modified resol binding resin taught by Wernik et al.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M. Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,606 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 15, 2006

Clary alrah

ls